



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AL

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,284	01/02/2002	Masako Izui	217677US0PCT	1454

38108 7590 09/30/2004

AJINOMOTO CORPORATE SERVICES, LLC
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
1120 CONNECTICUT AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1652

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,284	IZUI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD	1652	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

- 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
- 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

- 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112, 1st new matter rejection of claim 1..
- 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
- 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 2 and 3

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4-7

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

- 8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

- 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

- 10. Other: _____



Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1652

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1 still requires the 112, 1st written description rejection because "an activity of binding to sucrose" encompasses various functions. Claim 4 would require the 112, 2nd rejection because PTS enzyme II has various activities in addition to the activity of binding to sucrose. It is suggested to amend the claim on line 3 by replacing "an activity" with "the activity of binding to sucrose" (see specification on page 9, lines 25-27). Claims 1, 4-6 remain rejected under 112, 1st enablement, because the specification provides insufficient guidance as to what residues can change in the 20 % of the structure without effecting the requisite activity as discussed in the Final Office action mailed May 5, 2004. It is noted that written description and enablement are two different rejections under 112, 1st paragraph. Therefore, it is not contradictory to have the enablement rejection only (see Remarks of 5/5/04, page 6, 1st paragraph).